

## **APPENDIX B: AUDIT CHECKLIST**

**SCHEDULE 5: AUDIT CHECKLIST Capital wind farm - MP 05\_0179 as modified**

Date: 1 August 2012

Premises: Capital Wind Farm

Project phase: Compliance to Date

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
8	<p><b>Compliance</b> General The Proponent must be responsible for environmental impacts resulting from the actions of all persons on-site, including contractors, subcontractors and visitors.</p>	Yes	<p>The applicant advised measures to minimise any environmental impact from persons on site include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An induction is required to be undertaken by all visitors prior to access to the wind farm being made available (auditors undertook induction prior to audit of wind farm);</li> <li>• Service technicians are accompanied by Woodlawn staff;</li> <li>• Weekly tool box meetings are undertaken to reinforce environmental awareness;</li> </ul> <p>Each staff member is provided with a 16 page induction handbook (sighted by auditors)</p>	
16	<p>The results of any monitoring required under this Approval must be: (a) recorded and maintained in a legible form, or in a form which can be readily reduced to a legible form; (b) kept for at least 4 years after the monitoring or event to which they relate took place; and (c) produced in a legible form to any authorised officer of the DEC or the Department who asks to see them.</p>	Yes	<p>The proponent advised the auditors that monitoring is being conducted in accordance with this condition and will be reflected in annual reports.</p> <p>Copies of monitoring records such as mortality inspections were sighted by the auditors during the inspection.</p> <p>The proponent also advised the auditors it is proposed to align monitoring forms into a single template with the OEMP which is under revision.</p>	
19	<p><b>Environmental Impact Audit Report - Operation</b> An Environmental Impact Audit Report - Operation must be prepared and submitted to the Director General within three (3) months after a 24</p>	Yes	<p>The Environmental Impact Audit Report, prepared by <b>aurecon</b>, dated 24 April 2012 identified a</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
	<p>month period of Operation and then at any additional periods requested by the Director General. The Director General may request the Proponent to make the operation audit report available to other Relevant Government Agencies and Council. The Environmental Impact Audit Report -Operation must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) be certified by an independent person at the Proponent's expense. The certifier must be approved by the Director General prior to the preparation of the audit report;</li> <li>(b) compare the operation impact predictions made in the Environmental Assessment report and documents identified in Condition 2;</li> <li>(c) assess the effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures and safeguards;</li> <li>(d) assess compliance with the systems for operation maintenance and monitoring; and</li> <li>(e) discuss the results of consultation with the local community particularly any feedback or complaints.</li> </ul> <p>Where necessary, the results of the audit report must also be used to update the Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) of Condition of Approval No. 30. The Proponent must notify the Director General, Relevant Government Agencies and Council of any updates to the OEMP and provide a copy on request.</p>		number of items that need clarification.	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
21	<p><b>Environmental Representative</b> Prior to the commencement of Construction, the Proponent must nominate a suitably qualified and experienced Environmental Representative(s) (ER) whose appointment requires the approval of the Director General. The Proponent must employ the ER(s) on a full-time basis, or as otherwise agreed by the Director General, during the Construction, and Commissioning. <b>An ER must also be employed during Operation.</b> The ER must be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the primary contact point in relation to the environmental performance of the Development;</li> <li>(b) responsible for all management plans and monitoring programs required under this Approval;</li> <li>(c) responsible for considering and advising on matters specified in the Conditions of Approval, and all other licences and approvals related to the environmental performance and impacts of the Development;</li> <li>(d) responsible for receiving and responding to complaints in accordance with this Approval; and</li> <li>(e) given the authority and independence to require reasonable steps be taken to avoid or minimise unintended or adverse environmental impacts, and failing the effectiveness of such steps, to direct that relevant actions be ceased immediately should an adverse impact on the environment be likely to occur.</li> </ul> <p>The Proponent must obtain approval from the Director General for changes to the appointment of the ER during Construction. The Proponent must notify the Director General of any changes to the appointment during Operation.</p>	Yes	An ER has been nominated and approved by the Director-General (correspondence dated 7 November 2011).	
22	<p><b>Greenhouse and Energy Management Strategy</b> A Greenhouse and Energy Management Strategy must be prepared to ensure the use of non-renewable resources from Construction and Operation is minimised. The strategy must incorporate but not necessarily be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) design and layout plans to balance the generation and requirement for fill materials, minimising the amount required to be transported to the site from offsite sources;</li> <li>(b) work schedule and methods that minimise equipment idle time and</li> </ul>	Yes	<p>The Operation Greenhouse and Energy Management Strategy was not included in the OEMP but appears to have been included in the CEMP.</p> <p>The proponent advised the auditors the revised OEMP will incorporate the Operational Greenhouse and Energy Management Strategy.</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
	double handling of material; (c) instructions to throttle down and switch off idle construction equipment particularly when trucks are waiting to access the site or while being loaded and unloaded; <b>(d) measures to ensure equipment is regularly and correctly maintained for energy efficient operation;</b> <b>(e) management practices to ensure site office equipment and lights are switched off after hours except for security lighting;</b> and (f) instructions to use local materials and recycled materials (demolition materials, construction materials, paper, glass etc) where appropriate.		A Greenhouse and Energy Management Strategy dated April 2012 was sighted by the auditor during the site inspection.	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
31	Operation must not commence until written approval of the OEMP has been received from the Director General. Upon receipt of the Director General's approval, the Proponent must supply a copy of the OEMP to the DEC and Councils as soon as practicable.	No	<p>Revised OEMP dated 9 October 2009 received by the Department on 9 November 2009.</p> <p><b>Conditional</b> approval issued by the Director-General <b>1 December 2009</b>. The conditional approval required revision of the OEMP.</p> <p>A revised OEMP, dated 18/1/2010, was received 2010.</p> <p>Operations commenced <b>October 2009</b> prior to written approval from the DG. This is identified by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Environmental Impact Audit Report prepared by aurecon, dated 24 April 2012 (reference 227304), which states '<i>the wind farm became fully operational during November 2009</i>' (page 1).</li> <li>• Infigen Energy website which states "<i>the Capital Wind Farm became operational in October 2009</i>" Source: <a href="http://www.infigenenergy.com/rpv.html">www.infigenenergy.com/rpv.html</a></li> <li>• Infigen brochure (available from the Infigen web site) for 'The Capital Wind Farm' (undated) which states '<i>the wind farm becoming fully operational in October 2009</i>'.</li> <li>• Repower Australia fact sheet for Capital Wind Farm which states '<b>Operational: October 2009</b>'. Source: <a href="http://www.repower.com.au/index.php?id=29">www.repower.com.au/index.php?id=29</a></li> <li>• Infigen presentation to analysts on <b>19</b></li> </ul>	<p><b>Action:</b></p> <p>Matter to be investigated in accordance with the Department's Compliance Policy.</p>

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			<p><b>November 2009</b> which states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. all turbines fully <b>operational</b> (page 13)</li> <li>ii. Status: <b>Operational</b> (page 15)</li> <li>iii. Construction completed on time and on budget: <b>Operational – Oct 2009</b> (page 16)</li> </ul> <p>The issue of the wind farm becoming operational prior to the Director-Generals approval was raised with the proponent during the site inspection.</p> <p>In response, the proponent advised:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. practical completion did not occur until December 2009 – post dated;</li> <li>ii. the wind farm was not 'contractually complete' in October 2009 due to various equipment faults (i.e. turbines failing).</li> </ul>	
32	<p><b>Operation Flora and Fauna Management Sub Plan</b>  An Operation Flora and Fauna Management Sub Plan must be prepared as part of the OEMP. The sub plan must include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) plans showing terrestrial vegetation communities, important flora and fauna habitat areas, areas to be protected, and areas to be planted;</li> <li>(b) methods for managing flora and fauna and their habitats which are directly or indirectly affected by the Development;</li> <li>(c) the mitigation measures outlined in Section 7.6 of the Environmental Assessment report; and</li> <li>(d) strategies to control the spread of weeds during Operation.</li> </ul>	Yes	<p><i>The Operation Flora and Fauna Management Sub Plan was included in the Revised OEMP dated 18/1/2010.</i></p> <p>The proponent advised the project is being conducted in accordance with the Flora and Fauna Management.</p> <p>The projects induction program requires all site visitors to ensure flora and fauna are protected during their visit. The induction directs all visitors to stay on formed tracks/paths when on site.</p> <p>This is also reflected in the Capital Wind Farm</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			<p>Site Induction Handbook, dated 07.09.2009 (page 14).</p> <p>The proponent stated 'it must be noted that Infigen only leases approximately 1% of the land - the majority of weed control is required by the land owners' (written response provided to auditor).</p>	
33	<p><b>Operation Soil and Water Management Sub Plan</b> An Operation Soil and Water Management Sub Plan must be prepared as part of the OEMP. The sub plan must:</p> <p>(a) include regular inspection of disturbed ground, particularly after rain, to ensure sediment control devices are maintained;</p> <p>(b) incorporate the use of appropriate containment facilities for chemical storage in the control room, bunding around the substation transformer and padmount transformers, and facilities building to prevent discharge to the ground; and</p> <p>(c) include measures to maintain site tracks to prevent erosion and discharge of sediment from the site.</p>	Yes	<p><i>The Operation Soil and Water Management Plan was included in the Revised OEMP dated 18/1/2010.</i></p> <p>The proponent advised that daily inspections are conducted in areas where maintenance is being conducted and following any major events a complete site inspection is carried out.</p> <p>During the site inspection, it was observed that while portable bunding measures were in use (i.e. spill containment pallets) within the substation storage area, a number of oil containers were not provided with portable bunding.</p>	<p><b>observation:</b> All oil containers are to be provided with permanent, or portable, bunding (<i>such as spill containment pallets</i>).</p>
34	<p><b>Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Program</b> A Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Program must be prepared as part of the OEMP and undertaken by a suitably qualified expert approved by the Director General and must:</p> <p>(a) incorporate monitoring, and a decision matrix that clearly describes how the Proponent will respond to the outcomes of monitoring;</p> <p>(b) incorporate an on-going role for the suitably qualified expert;</p> <p>(c) set out monitoring techniques, taking into account best practice bird and bat monitoring methods for wind farms such as those identified in the current editions of AusWEA Best Practice Guidelines for the</p>	Yes	<p><i>The Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Plan was included in the revised OEMP dated 9 October 2009.</i></p> <p><i>Letter dated 1 December 2009 conditionally approving OEMP required amendments to the Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Plan to remove reference to the NSW Bio-banking Scheme.</i></p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
	<p>Implementation of Wind Energy Projects in Australia and Assessing the Impacts of Windfarms on Birds – Protocols and Data Set Standards;</p> <p>(d) account for natural and human changes to the surrounding environment that might influence bird and/or bat behaviour such as changes in land use practices, and significant changes in water levels in nearby waterbodies;</p> <p>(e) incorporate a decision making framework that sets out specific actions and when they may be required, to reduce identified impacts on birds and bats;</p> <p>(f) identify ‘at risk’ bird and bat groups and include monthly censuses of their movements; and</p> <p>(g) set out available mitigation measures including, but limited to, those identified in Condition No. 32(c) and commitments outlined in Section 15 of the EA.</p>		<p><i>Revised OEMP dated 18/1/2010</i></p> <p><i>Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Program – Report on Year One Implementation - July 2011 submitted to the Department on 3 August 2011.</i></p> <p>The proponent has stated the Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Plan is being implemented with copies of monthly monitoring reports being sighted.</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
35	<p>The Proponent must prepare <b>annual reports</b> commencing 12 months from the start of Operation describing the activities undertaken within the Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Program. The reports must be prepared within 2 months of the end of the reporting period and be provided to the Director General. The reports must address the:</p> <p>(a) outcomes of monitoring;  (b) application of the decision making framework;  (c) need for mitigation measures;  (d) progress with implementation of mitigation measures; and  (e) effectiveness of the mitigation measures.</p>	No	<p><i>Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Program – Report on Year One Implementation - July 2011 submitted to the Department on 3 August 2011.</i></p> <p><i>Operation commenced in October 2009.</i></p> <p><i>Monthly carcass searches between March 2010 and February 2011.</i></p> <p>The Bird and Bat Adaptive Management report does not include any monitoring results for the operational period between October 2009 and March 2010.</p> <p>The first 12 months of operation should have been prepared by December 2010.</p>	<p><b>Action Required:</b></p> <p>The Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Program – Report on Year Two (currently with the Department) is to be revised to include the results for the period between October 2009 and March 2010.</p>
37	<p><b>COMMUNICATION AND CONSULTATION Information on the Development</b></p> <p>The Proponent must make all documents relevant to this Approval, with the exception of that information that may be legitimately claimed is of a confidential commercial nature, Publicly Available at a location on the Development Site convenient for inspection by visitors.</p>	No	<p>The proponent has made documents pertaining to the project publically available at the Capital substation office however a number of documents were not being made available (such as the OEMP) with the proponent stating they were of a confidential and commercial nature.</p> <p>Further, access to the Capital substation is not readily available to the public with the entry signage (to the site) stating '<i>unauthorised entry is prohibited and trespassers will be prosecuted</i>'. In addition, there was no contact number for the public to contact the substation to request authorised access to view documents associated with the project and the sites signage does not reflect the fact documents are available for public viewing.</p>	<p><b>Required Action:</b></p> <p>Provide a contact telephone number at the entrance to the substation facility to ensure documents relevant to this consent are readily available for members of the public.</p> <p><b>Observation:</b></p> <p>It is recommended the proponent make all publically available project related documents accessible on its website and provide contact details at the substation entry.</p>

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			<p>It is noted that the lack of contact details on the entry signage had been raised with the proponent during a previous inspection (Woodlawn Wind Farm audit visit).</p> <p>The proponent also identifies which documents are required for the project and clarifies which are being made publically available and which are to be withheld as confidential and commercial in nature.</p> <p>In the auditors experience it has been found that standard practise is for documents such as Monitoring Reports and Operational Environmental Management Plans to be made available to the public on a proponent's website.</p>	
40	<p><b>Complaints Management System</b>  Prior to the commencement of Construction, the Proponent must ensure that the following is available for the life of the Development:  (a) a postal address to which written complaints may be sent;  (b) an e-mail address to which electronic complaints may be transmitted; and  (c) a 24-hour telephone contact line. This must provide for:  i complaints about operations associated with the development on the Development Site to be followed-up by the DEC with the licensee or a representative of the licensee who can respond at all times to incidents relating to individual premises; and  ii construction and operational complaints associated with the Development to be registered by the community.</p>	Yes	<p>The website as at 11 July 2012 included a postal address and a land line telephone number (the number does not indicate it is a 24 hour complaint number and was not easy to locate). In their written response, the proponent acknowledged the contact details were '<i>hard to find</i>'.</p> <p>During the audit period, the direct email address provided on the proponent's web site was difficult to find and found to be inoperative between 5 October 2012 and 16 October 2012. However there is a web based form to complete and be submitted electronically.</p> <p>At 6:30 pm on Monday 22 September 2011, a phone call was made (by the Department) to the</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			<p>contact phone number on the project's web site (9238 4300) and a message was left saying that this was a test by the Department with a request to reply by phoning a mobile phone number. There had been no response to this message by 9 am Thursday 25 September 2011.</p> <p>At 6.26 pm on Wednesday 11 July 2012, a phone call was made (by the Department) to the contact phone number on the project's web site (8031 9947) which was answered. The Department was advised the operational command centre is manned twenty-four hours a day, amongst other things, to respond to complaints.</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
41	<p>The Proponent must keep a legible record of all complaints received in an up-to-date Complaints Register. The Complaints Register must record, but not necessarily be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the date and time, where relevant, of the complaint;</li> <li>(b) the means by which the complaint was made (telephone, mail or e-mail);</li> <li>(c) any personal details of the complainant that were provided, or if no details were provided, a note to that effect;</li> <li>(d) the nature of the complaint;</li> <li>(e) any action(s) taken by the Proponent in relation to the complaint, including any follow-up contact with the complainant; and</li> <li>(f) if no action was taken by the Proponent in relation to the complaint, the reason(s) why no action was taken.</li> </ul> <p>The Complaints Register must be made available for inspection on request of the Department or an authorised officer of the DEC. The record of a complaint must be kept for at least four years after the complaint was made.</p>	Yes	<p><i>A site inspection conducted in September 2008 stated that RPV informed that a Complaints Register had been established. (Letter of 20.2.08)</i></p> <p><i>It was noted that some complaints had not been registered resulting in a need to update the on-site Complaints Register.</i></p> <p>The projects complaint register was sighted by the auditors. It was noted that no complaints had been recorded since 13 October 2011 which was raised with the proponent who advised no complaints had been received since that date.</p> <p>For a number of registered complaints, the 'Action Taken' column simply stated '<i>responded by email</i>' or '<i>added to complaint register</i>' with no indication of what measures were conducted to investigate, or adequately address, the complaint. A number of complaints also had no response provided to a complainant.</p>	<p><b>Observation:</b></p> <p>The complaint register should be updated to provide adequate detail of actions taken in response to complaints, including investigation of the complaints, follow-up actions, responses to complainants and reasons where no action taken.</p>

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
42	<p><b>VISUAL AMENITY - General</b> The Proponent must implement the landscape and visual mitigation measures identified in Section 6.10 of the Environmental Assessment report and include, where appropriate:</p> <p>(a) the use of local material for fill to minimise colour contrast; (b) screen plantings around the substation to ensure that no components of the substation are visible; (c) a design for the substation and facilities buildings that fit in with the rural setting; (d) aesthetic uniformity by ensuring the rotor, nacelle, and tower of each turbine look similar and their rotors spin in the same direction; (e) measures to ensure the turbines are spinning when there is wind; and (f) good “housekeeping” by managing the site to be free of litter, and ensuring maintenance wastes are disposed of correctly e.g. lube oils.</p>	Yes	<p><i>Section 6.10 of the EA includes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• use of underground cabling between turbines</li> <li>• selected tree planting to screen elements of wind farm</li> <li>• tree planting at some neighbouring properties</li> <li>• matt finish to the blades if practicable.</li> <li>• Alternate colour if Council requests.</li> </ul> <p>During the site inspection the auditors observed a mound had been developed to screen the substation from surrounding properties and Bungendore Road to the south. The mound had been screen planted with plant growth underway.</p>	
43	<p><b>Off-Site Landscape Sub Plan</b> As part of the OEMP the Proponent must develop and implement an Off-Site Landscape Sub Plan to address visual impacts of the proposed development for any owner of an existing or approved residential dwelling with views of turbine(s) located within four kilometres of their dwelling. The Off-Site Landscape Sub Plan is to be prepared by a suitably qualified landscape planner approved by the Director General.</p>	Yes	<p><i>The Off-Site Landscape Sub Plan was included in the Revised OEMP dated 18/1/2010.</i></p> <p>The proponent advised the plan has been fully implemented and landscaping completed.</p> <p>The proponent advised the auditors that no additional landowners had approached the proponent seeking landscaping mitigation measures.</p> <p>It was noted that the proponent was not able to provide any signed documents from residents acknowledging completion of landscaping works</p>	<p><b>Observation:</b></p> <p>It is recommended that for any future mitigation works associated with the operation of the wind farm, that the proponent seeks to have land owners acknowledge such works have been completed and which is to their satisfaction. This would enable the proponent to verify such works have been undertaken.</p>
44	<p>The Proponent must notify in writing all owners of a residential dwelling with views of turbines located within four kilometres of their residential dwelling of its requirement to prepare the Off-Site Landscape Sub Plan, prior to the commencement of Commissioning. These owners may request, no later than six months after commencement of Operation, inclusion of their property in the Off-Site Landscape Sub Plan.</p>	Yes	<p>The proponent provided an email from Aurecon dated 1 August 2012 to Infigen advising Capital wind farm had attracted 15 requests for landscape management plans. 13 plans were in place at the time of the audit.</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			The proponent stated they are still in discussion with one landowner on what mitigation measures are to be provided. A landscape plan signed by the second outstanding landowner was provided during the audit. The plan is dated 11 May 2012.	
45	The landscape planner will, for each individual residential treatment, identify which screening species to use, where mature stock should be used in order to get the most effect, and how to screen out the wind turbines and still retain at least a partial outlook if desired by the resident. The Proponent must implement all Reasonable and Feasible requirements for the identified landscape works. The Off-Site Landscape Sub Plan is to be fully implemented within 18 months of the commencement of Operation.	No	With discussions still ongoing with one landowner, and the agreement dated 11 May 2012 not yet implemented, the project is non-compliant.  In response the proponent stated ' <i>Infigen has provided landscape solutions that has cost this company &gt; than the requirement of this condition</i> '.  	<b>Required action:</b>  Although this condition is identified as non-compliant, it is recognised that the proponent may have taken reasonable steps to negotiate measures with landowners within the specified period. Required action to finalise implementation within 3 months.
46	<b>Signs</b> No advertising or signs are to be mounted on the turbines or placed on the Development Site, except where required for safety purposes. A corporate logo may be placed on the turbines providing it is not distinguishable by the naked eye from any publicly accessible location or from any non associated properties.	Yes	It was observed during the site inspection that logo's for <i>Suzlon</i> and <i>Babcock &amp; Brown Wind Partners</i> have been provided on the nacelles. The condition provides for a corporate logo to be placed on turbines as long as they are not distinguishable by the naked eye from any publicly accessible location or from any non associated properties.	
47	<b>Lighting</b> There must be no external night lighting of infrastructure associated with the Development, including the wind turbines, other than low intensity security lighting, unless otherwise agreed by the Director General or required by CASA.	Yes	The proponent advised that only security lighting was in use during the night.  Note: During the audit process, an inspection of a residential property adjoining the substation observed no lighting in use.	
60	<b>Noise Mitigation – Vacant Lots</b> Reasonable and Feasible noise mitigation measures are to be provided by the Proponent for no more than one new dwelling, built on any vacant	Yes	During the site inspection the proponent advised the Department that one new residence is	<b>Observation:</b>  The dwelling was still under construction at the

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
	<p>lot legally existing at the date of this Approval, upon which a residential dwelling would be permissible at the same date. Noise mitigation is to be provided if the noise levels from the Development at the approved location of the new residential dwelling would exceed the SA Guidelines.</p> <p>Note: The intention is that this Condition of Approval does not apply to any potential future subdivision(s) that may be approved after the date of this Approval.</p>		<p>currently under construction which is sited approximately 800 metres from a turbine.</p> <p>While it was requested that the Department 'explain the condition', it was acknowledged that the new dwelling met the criteria for this condition.</p> <p>Following the site inspection, the proponent met with the owner of the dwelling under construction to ascertain whether noise mitigation measures might be required. The proponent advised that the dwelling is being constructed using techniques including insulation and double glazing which should act as noise barriers.</p>	<p>time of the audit.</p> <p>Once the dwelling is complete, the proponent is to consult with the owner concerning any noise monitoring and mitigation measures consistent with this condition.</p>

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
68	In the event that required aerial weed control or application of fertiliser is restricted on any property surrounding the Development Site due to the location of turbines, the Proponent must fully fund the cost difference between aerial weed spraying or application of fertiliser and a reasonable alternative application method in the restricted area.	Yes	The proponent has advised the Department that no land owner has raised concern with aerial weed control or application of fertiliser measures during the operation of the wind farm.	
69	<p><b>Hazards</b> <b>Bushfire Risk</b></p> <p>As part of the Construction and Operation EMPs, the Proponent must prepare, in consultation with the Taylors Creek Rural Fire Service, a Bushfire Risk Management Sub Plan based on the guidelines Planning for Bushfire Protection (RFS, 2001 or its latest edition). The sub plan must include:</p> <p>(a) details of the bushfire hazards and risks associated with the Development;</p> <p>(b) mitigation measures including contingency plans;</p> <p>(c) procedures and programs for liaison and regular drills with the Taylors Creek Rural Fire Service; and</p> <p>(d) procedures for regular fire prevention inspections by the Taylors Creek Rural Fire Service and implementation of any recommendations.</p>	Yes	<p><i>Pre-construction compliance report dated 20/2/2008 states that this was completed as part of the CEMP.</i></p> <p>A Bushfire Risk Management Sub Plan was included as part of the approved OEMP.</p> <p>The proponent has advised the Department that the Rural Fire Service have inspected the facility and was satisfied with the project from a bush fire management perspective.</p> <p>A further meeting was to be held August 2012.</p>	
70	The Proponent must, prior to commencement of construction, purchase a Cat 7 Fire Tender and give it at no cost to the Taylors Creek Rural Fire Service.	Yes	<p><i>Pre-construction compliance report dated 20/2/2008 states that the fire tender was purchased and paid 50% with remainder due on delivery. Expected delivery in late March 2008.</i></p> <p><i>Construction commenced on 3/3/08</i></p> <p>The Bungendore Mirror (Wednesday 23 July 2008 – sighted by auditor) reported that a Cat 7 Fire Truck had been donated to the Taylors Creek Fire Brigade. In addition, the proponent offered funding (\$27,000) to assist the fire brigade in connecting the fire shed to the main power supply.</p>	
72	<p>Electromagnetic Interference – <b>Television Interference</b></p> <p>Prior to the erection of any wind turbine on the Development Site, the Proponent must advise in writing, any owner of a residential dwelling</p>	Yes	The proponent advised the Department that <i>'Infigen took a proactive stance and any complaint</i>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
	<p>located within five kilometres of a proposed wind turbine that an assessment of potential television interference is available to them. If requested by the owner, the Proponent must:</p> <p>(a) undertake an assessment of the existing quality of television reception; and</p> <p>(b) <b>reassess</b> the electromagnetic interference to television reception <b>during the first six months of Operation.</b></p> <p>Any reassessment must be conducted within three months of a request being made. Residential dwellings located on the Development Site are not included in this condition.</p>		<i>was dealt with and repaired.</i>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions))	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
73	<p>The Proponent must undertake any Reasonable and Feasible mitigation measures, at its own expense, to rectify any television reception problems identified in the reassessment conducted under Condition No. 72 and attributable to the Development, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) improving the existing antenna system;</li> <li>(b) installing and maintaining a parasitic antenna system;</li> <li>(c) installing and maintaining an alternative television connection such as a satellite receiving antenna; and</li> <li>(d) providing a land line between the affected receiver and an antenna located in an area of favourable reception.</li> </ul>	Yes	<p><i>The Pre-Operation Compliance Report submitted on the 29/9/2009 states that RPV undertook pre-assessment and engaged a specialist technician to review and rectify any problems encountered by neighbours due to the Wind farm development. The report states that most residences issues are addressed by improvements to antenna system and that RPV will continue to proactively address issue</i></p>	
74	<p><b>Radio Communication</b>  Prior to the erection of any wind turbine on the Development Site, the Proponent must advise in writing the operator(s) of any two-way, fixed radio links crossing the Development Site that, at the request of the operator, the Proponent must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) undertake an assessment of the existing quality in consultation with the operator(s); and</li> <li>(b) reassess the electromagnetic interference to radio reception during the first six months of Operation. Any reassessment must be conducted within three months of a request being made.</li> </ul>	Yes	See condition 75	
75	<p>The Proponent must undertake any Reasonable and Feasible mitigation measures, at its own expense, to rectify any radio reception problems identified in the reassessment conducted at Condition No. 74 and attributable to the Development, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) modifying the existing aerial;</li> <li>(b) installing a directional antenna; and</li> <li>(c) installing an amplifier to boost the signal.</li> </ul>	Yes	<p><i>The Pre-Operation Compliance Report submitted on the 29/9/2009 states that RPV has undertaken pre-assessment and engaged specialist technician to review and rectify any problems encountered by neighbours due to the Wind farm development. The report also states that most residences addressed by improvements to their antenna system.</i></p>	
77	<p><b>Waste Management and Recycling</b>  As part of the Construction and Operation EMPs the Proponent must prepare Waste Management and Re-use Sub Plan(s). The sub plan(s) must address the management of wastes during the Construction and</p>	Yes	<p><i>Pre-construction compliance report dated 20/2/2008 states the Waste Management plan was included in the CEMP.</i></p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions))	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
	<p>Operation stages respectively in accordance with the NSW Government's Waste Reduction and Purchasing Policy. The sub plan(s) must identify requirements for:</p> <p>(a) the application of the waste minimisation hierarchy principles of avoid/reduce/reuse/ recycle/dispose;</p> <p>(b) minimising the volume of wastewater produced and include, as a minimum, a commitment to install AAA-rated water conservation devices in the control room/facilities building;</p> <p>(c) waste handling and storage. The human wastewater management system is to be designed according to the guidelines entitled On-site Sewage Management for Single Households and the AS/NZS 1547-2000 - On-site Domestic Wastewater Management;</p> <p>(d) disposal of wastes. Specific details must be provided for cleared vegetation, contaminated materials, glass, metals and plastics, hydrocarbons (lubricants and fuels) and sanitary wastes; and</p> <p>(e) any waste material that is unable to be re-used, re-processed or recycled, which must be disposed at a facility approved to receive that type of waste.</p>		<p><i>The Waste Management and Reuse Sub Plan was included in the revised OEMP dated 9 October 2009.</i></p> <p><i>Letter dated 1 December 2009 conditionally approving OEMP required amendments to the Waste Management Sub Plan such as who is responsible to ensure compliance.</i></p> <p><i>The Environmental Impact Audit Report prepared by Aurecon, dated 24 April 2012 identified potential amendments to the OEMP to reflect waste management.</i></p>	
79	<p>If any wind turbine is not used for the generation of electricity for a continuous period of 12 months, it must be decommissioned unless otherwise agreed to by the Director General. The Proponent <b>must keep independently verified annual records</b> of the use of wind turbines for electricity generation. These records must be provided to the Director General upon request. The relevant wind turbine and any associated infrastructure is to be dismantled and removed from the Development Site within 18 months from the date that the wind turbine was last used to generate electricity.</p>	Yes	Not applicable to date	